

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/613,803	07/03/2003	Takae Ito	2803.68136	7339
7590 10/15/2004		EXAMINER		
Patrick G. Burns, Esq. GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.			VU, PHU	
Suite 2500	NS & CRAIN, LID.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
300 South Wacker Dr.			2871	
Chicago, IL 60606			DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T-2		on		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/613,803	ITO, TAKAE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Phu Vu	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	ess		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed airty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this commandation (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>03 July 2003</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un	•	•	nerits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration.		·		
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		o by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	· ·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO	-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National St	age		
Attachment(s)	_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date			
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Notice of Drawing Review (PTO-94		f Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)		

Application/Control Number: 10/613,803

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ode et. al US 2001/0024183. Regarding claim 1, Ode teaches a liquid crystal display device comprising a liquid crystal display panel, at least one flexible printed circuit board (see figure 19A and 19B element FPC1), having driver IC's mounted thereon; wherein at least two driver ICs are cascaded (see [0018]) with respect to input signals.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ode as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Murai US Patent Application Publication

Art Unit: 2871

2002/0057235. Ode teaches a PCB arranged along one side of the liquid crystal panel connected by a flexible PCB. Ode teaches all the limitations of the claim except a plurality of flexible printed circuit boards connecting the liquid crystal panel to the printed circuit board. Murai teaches a plurality of flexible printed circuit boards (figure 7, element 3) used to connect the display panel to the PCB to be conventional in the art (see [0005], [0007], and [0031]). There are associated benefits of conventionality, such as proven effectiveness, steady supply chains, and reduced costs. Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply a plurality of flexible printed circuit boards instead of one in Ode's invention because this provides a proven effectiveness, steady supply chain, and reduced costs.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ode. Ode does not disclose a flexible printed circuit board has input terminals the number of which is the same as that of input terminals of one driver IC, however, a cascade arrangement inherently has the driver ICs arranged in a sequence therefore only 1 set of inputs is necessary for a cascade configuration. Thus the limitation is inherent or obvious over the reference.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patents 6690347, 6519020, 6195148, 6061246, 5959709, 5670994, 5402255.

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phu Vu whose telephone number is (571)-272-1562. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571)-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ML

Phu Vu Examiner AU 2871

> KENNETH PARKER PRIMARY EXAMINER